1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. C 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. 13 \$102,000.00 in United States Currency, VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR more or less, and all proceeds therefrom, 14 FORFEITURE IN REM Defendant. 15 16 COMES NOW, the United States of America, by and through Jenny A. Durkan, 17 United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and James M. Lord, 18 Assistant United States Attorney for said District, and alleges: 19 I. 20 This is a complaint for the forfeiture in rem of \$102,000.00 in United States 21 currency, more or less, and all proceeds therefrom ("the defendant currency"), pursuant to 22 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A), for violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(A) and 23 1956(a)(1)(B)(i). 24 II. 25 This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1345, and 26 28 U.S.C. § 1355. 27 28

3

2

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

This Court has venue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395. IV.

III.

The defendant funds as described above are now and during the pendency of this action will be in the jurisdiction of this Court.

V.

From 2005 until July, 2008, Shawn Portmann (hereinafter "Portmann") was the Senior Vice President of Bank Home Loans, a residential lending division of Pierce Commercial Bank (hereinafter "PCB"). From July 2008 through 2009, Portmann continued to work in the mortgage industry. PCB sold mortgages originated by PCB Home Loans to secondary investors. Beginning around June 2009, Special Agents from the Federal Bureau of Investigations (FBI), US Postal Inspection Service, Housing and Urban Development-OIG, and Internal Revenue Service-CI (IRS-CI), began actively investigating the mortgage loan practices of PC Bank Home Loans. The investigation revealed that Portmann and the two other principals at PCB Home Loans had devised a scheme involving originating, processing and/or brokering mortgage applications with materially false representations to induce financial institutions to fund and/or purchase loans. Loan data from PCB, obtained during the above-mentioned investigation, identified approximately 11,442 mortgage loans originated by loan officers at PC Bank Home Loans, totaling more than \$2.2 billion. Portmann was identified as the originating loan officer on approximately 5,253 loans, totaling more than \$990 million. Loans brokered by Portmann account for nearly half, 46 percent, of PC Bank Home Loans' total loan volume. To date, based on interviews and a review of financial records, the investigation has revealed that over 50% of these transactions were fraudulent. A significant number of loan files contained misrepresentations, and fraudulent documentation. Portmann's scheme involved falsifying borrowers' income, forging or doctoring supporting documents, obtaining inflated appraisals, misrepresenting occupancy, and disregarding or falsely resolving underwriters' conditions. For each loan

file funded by PCB, Portmann caused PCB to wire the funds to the escrow company handling the closing on the loan.

VI.

Portmann's personal bank accounts, Bank of America linked checking account \*\*\*\*\*9191, held in the name of Shawn Portmann, and savings account \*\*\*\*\*7466, held in the name of both Portmann and his personal assistant "AB," were used in the scheme to obtain funding of fraudulent mortgage loans for borrowers. Specifically, Portmann would, through "AB," obtain cashier's checks from the aforementioned Bank of America accounts to improve the appearance of a borrower's financial condition. The checks would be presented as evidence that the debts would be paid. The remitter on the cashier's check named a mortgage loan borrower instead of the account holder(s), Portmann or "AB." Within a few days, the cashier's checks were re-deposited into one of the two Bank of America accounts. The endorsement on the back of the cashiers check included a notation that they were "not used for intended purpose." Between 2006 and 2009, 85 cashier's checks totaling more than \$899,000.00 were purchased from and then re-deposited into Bank of America accounts \*\*\*\*\*9191 and \*\*\*\*\*7466.

VII.

Portmann and the other PCB Home Loans employees were compensated from commissions generated by fees, rebates and Service Release Premiums (hereinafter "SRP") from mortgage loans. SRP is the payment received by financial institutions on the sale of a closed mortgage to the secondary market; PCB retained 30 percent of SRP and Portmann and PC Home loans received 70 percent. Payments from PCB were deposited to Portmann's Bank of America accounts numbers \*\*\*\*\*9191 and \*\*\*\*\*7466. Between 2006 and 2008, Portmann deposited at least \$547,443.00 to account number \*\*\*\*\*9191 and at least \$265,463.00 to account number \*\*\*\*\*7466 from payroll and other disbursements from PCB.

VIII.

In about January 2010, during an interview of "AB," conducted by Special Agents from IRS-CI and the FBI and an Assistant United States Attorney, "AB" stated that she regularly withdrew cash from Portmann's savings accounts under Portmann's direction, placing the withdrawn funds in a safe in Portmann's house. In all, she withdrew about \$500,000 which she always took to Portmann's house. "AB" tracked all of the funds contained in the safe and maintained a log to record currency added or removed. "AB" later identified the yellow sheets of paper contained in the trash bag handed over by "SS" and accompanying the \$102,000.00 in United States currency as this log.

IX.

On or about March 3, 2010, "SS", through his attorney, turned over custody of the \$102,000.00 in United States currency, contained in a trash bag, to a Special Agent from IRS-CI in Tacoma, Washington. Other contents of the trash bag included one empty Bank of America envelope with handwriting on it and several sheets of lined yellow legal-sized paper with handwriting.

X.

During an interview of SS, conducted by Special Agents from IRS-CI and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (hereinafter "FBI") and an Assistant United States Attorney on or about March 12, 2010, "SS" stated that Portmann had given him a backpack sometime in late January or early February 2010 containing a large sum of United States currency. According to "SS", Portmann had become aware that he was the subject of an ongoing fraud investigation and consequently asked "SS" to keep the United States currency at his home. Portmann told "SS" that he believed the FBI thought Portmann was a flight risk and because he had a lot of cash at home, he did not want the FBI to think he would use it to flee. Portmann told "SS" he could borrow some of the money if he wanted to. "SS" kept approximately \$7,500.00 to pay bills. Through his attorney, "SS" turned over the entire remaining balance to IRS-CI agents on March 3, 2010.

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

XI.

On or about March 4, 2010, IRS-CI Special Agents reviewed the contents of the trash bag. The defendant currency was contained in two separate packaged amounts of \$100,000.00 and \$2,000.00 in United States currency. The \$100,000.00 in United States currency was packaged in ten bundles of \$100 bills with each bundle containing \$10,000. Each bundle was banded together with a currency strap and sealed in a plastic bag upon which was a small white sticker with a red strip. The sticker had three lines of text, reading from top to bottom "122908," "FRB-SEA," and "00002." This shrink wrapped packaging and bundled currency is consistent with the system used by the United States Federal Reserve Bank (hereinafter "FRB") when currency is shipped to banks. The remaining \$2,000.00 of the defendant currency was contained within a Bank of America envelope.

XII.

On or about March 5, 2010, Officer Tim Kroll of the United States Federal Reserve Bank ("FRB") in Seattle, WA, reviewed the photos of the \$100,000 in currency and confirmed it appeared to be in FRB packaging. Officer Kroll stated that a bank would have to request currency from the FRB in order to have the funds available for a customer to withdraw, and that banks may choose to leave it in the FRB wrapping so that they don't have to recount it for their customers. Officer Kroll further stated that the defendant currency in question, as packaged and as tracked with the unique identification, reading from top to bottom, of "122908," "FRB-SEA," and "00002." would have been distributed to a bank in about March 2009.

XIII.

On July 16, 2010, Special Agents from IRS-CI interviewed the assistant manger of the Puyallup, WA branch where the currency withdrawals from PORTMANN's account were conducted. The manager summarized the banks bulk cash procedures and stated that when the bank has a customer requesting bulk cash, that they order it from the local branch of the Federal Reserve Bank. The cash is usually received by the bank

within a week, depending on when it was ordered in relation to the banks weekly scheduled FRB delivery. When bulk cash is ordered the bank will leave it in the shrink wrapped bundle they receive it in from the FRB. When the customer picks up the cash, the bank will either provide it to the customer in the shrink wrap, or break it out and count it, whichever the customer requests. According to the manger, the branch rarely orders bulk cash in intervals of, or exceeding, \$100,000, and recalled doing it on a few instances for the same customer, Shawn Portmann. She stated that the cash was usually ordered and picked up by his assistant "AB", and the first time "AB" came to pick-up cash that totaled over \$100,000, the bank was concerned for her safety, and requested that Portmann accompany "AB." The manager further stated that Portmann instructed the bank to leave the cash in the \$100,000 shrink wrapped brick, so the teller handling the transactions did not break it out and count it, but rather provided "AB" the brick.

XIV.

On about July 22, 2010, "AB," through her attorney, reviewed photographs of the items obtained from "SS," which included three sheets of legal size yellow lined paper. "AB" stated that the sheets of legal paper made up the currency log that she kept in a safe located in Portmann's home. The sheets are numbered 1, 2 & 3, starting in mid 2008. According to "AB," the majority of the entries were made by her, but Portmann did enter amounts into the log. "AB" last saw the log when she made the entry of "\$9000" on 12/2/09. "AB" verified that all withdrawals from the bank accounts, cashing of paychecks and/or other checks and entries to the log were made at the direction of Portmann. The log contained an entry of \$100,000 on 3/31/09, which "AB" recalls that the bank made Portmann personally pick-up. However, "AB" went to the bank with Portmann when he picked up the currency, and Portmann handed the \$100,000 to her outside the bank and she took it to the house and put it in the safe (increasing the balance of currency in the safe, according to the log, from \$455,446 to \$555,446). According to "AB," Portmann had a key to the safe and accessed it whenever he needed cash, and sometimes he personally wrote an entry on the log. By directing "AB" to withdraw

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

currency from his bank account and put it into Portmann's safe, Portmann intended to promote the wire fraud scheme by ensuring that the proceeds from the scheme were available to him for his own use, and to conceal the nature and source of the wire fraud proceeds by turning it into currency that he could spend without it being easily traceable to the fraudulent scheme. XV. Bank of America records obtained during the course of the aforementioned investigation reflect a withdrawal of \$100,000.00 in United States funds from account \*\*\*\*\*9191 on or about March 31, 2009. The log maintained by "AB" reflects, on March 31, 2009, the deposit into Portmann's safe of \$100,000.00 in United States currency. XVI. By reason of the foregoing, the defendant currency is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(A) as property directly traceable to property involved in money laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956(a)(1)(A) and 1956(a)(1)(B)(i). WHEREFORE, the United States requests that due process issue to enforce the forfeiture of the defendant currency, that due notice be given to all interested persons to appear and show cause why forfeiture of the defendant currency should not be decreed, that the defendant currency be condemned as forfeited to the United States to be disposed of according to law, and for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. DATED this day of July, 2010. Respectfully submitted, JENNY A. DURKAN United States Attorney JAMES M. LORD Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-1271 Phone: 206-553-2242 206-553-6934 E-mail: Jim.Lord@usdoj.gov

1 2	VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT					
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON ) ss					
4	COUNTY OF PIERCE					
5	I, Silvia Reyes, declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to					
6	the best of my knowledge:					
7	I am Special Agent with the Internal Revenue Service - Criminal Investigations Division					
8	and am assigned to this case. I have read the attached Complaint and know the contents thereof					
9	I have furnished the information contained in the Complaint based upon my own investigation					
10	and that of other reliable official Government sources; and, based on information and belief, the					
11	allegations contained in the Complaint are true.					
12						
13	Say Description of the same of					
14	SH <del>VIA REYE</del> S Internal Revenue Service - CID					
15	SUBSCRIBED and SWORN to before me this day of July, 2010, by Silvia Reye					
16	1. 0.					
17	Kala Sty					
18	Notary Public in and for the					
19	State of Washington, residing at Spanaway Expires: 2-29-22-12					
20	Expires: 7-24-2-12					
21	A County of Contract of Contra					
22	TO MARK					
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

## SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

## Case 3:10-cv-05530-BHS Document 1 Filed 07/30/10 Page 9 of 9 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the purpose of the Clerk of Court for the Clerk

the civil docket sheet. (SEE INS	TRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS United States of America		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS \$102,000.00 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY, more or less			
United States of America		\$102,000.00 IN UNITE				
	0.00	County of Residence of	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys(If Known)			
(b) County of Residence o	FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)					
•		NOTE: IN LAND				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Number)	LAND II				
James M. Lord, Assistant Unit	ed States Attorney	Attorneys(11 Known)				
700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, Washington 98101-12	71					
(206) 553-2242, Facsimile: (20	)6) 553-6934					
OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES OF PRINCIPAL P						
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only)  and One Box for Defendant)						
■ 1 U.S. Government	☐ 3 Federal Question	PT		PTF DEF		
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Print of Business In This			
			2	rincinal Place		
2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2			
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		3 Greign Nation			
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATUDE OF SUIT (Place on "Y" in One Box Only)						
CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES  400 State Reapportionment		
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY	<b>1</b>	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	410 Antitrust		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury ☐ 315 Airplane Product		28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 365 Personal Injury		PROPERTY RIGHTS	450 Commerce 460 Deportation		
■ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability Slander ☐ 368 Asbestos Person	1	☐ 820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and		
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability  340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	660 Occupational Safety/Health	a40 Itauciliaik	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV		
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	■ 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal	g LABOR  710 Fair Labor Standards	861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange		
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	e Act	862 Black Lung (923)	875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410		
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability 385 Property Damag		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	■ 890 Other Statutory Actions		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Product Liability	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO		FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca ☐ 442 Employment ☐ Sentence	790 Giller Lador Engarion 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	■ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	895 Freedom of Information Act		
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 530 General 535 Death Penalty	1	20 030 7009	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination		
<ul> <li>245 Tort Product Liability</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & O	other		Under Equal Access (1)		
	Employment 550 Civil Rights  446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	, ,		□ 950 Constitutionality of		
	Other			State Statutes		
	440 Other Civil Rights		<u></u>			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  Appeal to District Judge from						
		Reinstated or Reinstated or anoth	sferred from 6 Multidistr	rict Magistrate		
	State Court Annellate Court	Reopened (spec	ify) Litigation	Judgment		
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  18 U.S.C. 8 981(a)(1)(A)for violations of 18 U.S.C. 88 1956(a)(1)(A) and 1956(a)(1)(B)(i)						
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause:						
Civil Forfeiture of property traceable to Money Laundering  CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:						
VII. REQUESTED IN		ON DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAND			
COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: 13 Yes 20 NO 14 AT						
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): HIDGE DOCKET NUMBER						
IF ANY						
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	ATTORNEY OF RECORD				
July $\mathcal{SO}$ , 2010	< ) ,	~ //				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			<del></del>	1.1		
			1/10 mm	DOE.		
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE						